Community Impact Assessment

A Community Impact Assessment (CIA) is a document that summarises how the council has had due regard to the public sector equality duty (Equality Act 2010) in decision-making.

When to assess

A CIA should be carried out when you are changing, removing or introducing a new service, policy or function. The assessment should be proportionate; a major financial decision will need to be assessed more closely than a minor policy change.

Public sector equality duty

The Equality Act 2010 places a duty on the council, when exercising public functions, to have due regard to the need to:

- 1) Eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation;
- 2) Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;
- 3) Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

These are known as the three aims of the general equality duty.

Protected characteristics

The Equality Act 2010 sets out nine protected characteristics that apply to the equality duty:

- Age
- Disability
- · Gender reassignment
- Marriage and civil partnership*
- Pregnancy and maternity
- Race
- Religion or belief
- Sex
- Sexual orientation

*For marriage and civil partnership, only the first aim of the duty applies in relation to employment.

We also ask you to consider other socially excluded groups, which could include people who are geographically isolated from services, with low literacy skills or living in poverty or low incomes; this may impact on aspirations, health or other areas of their life which are not protected by the Equality Act, but should be considered when delivering services.

Due regard

To 'have due regard' means that in making decisions and in its other day-to-day activities the council must consciously consider the need to do the things set out in the general equality duty: eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations.

How much regard is 'due' will depend on the circumstances and in particular on the relevance of the aims in the general equality duty to the decision or function in question. The greater the relevance and potential impact, the higher the regard required by the duty. The three aims of the duty may be more relevant to some functions than others; or they may be more relevant to some protected characteristics than others.

Collecting and using equality information

The Equalities and Human Rights Commissions (EHRC) states that 'Having due regard to the aims of the general equality duty requires public authorities to have an adequate evidence base for their decision making'. We need to make sure that we understand the potential impact of decisions on people with different protected characteristics. This will help us to reduce or remove unhelpful impacts. We need to consider this information before and as decisions are being made.

There are a number of publications and websites that may be useful in understanding the profile of users of a service, or those who may be affected.

- The Office for National Statistics Neighbourhoods website http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk
- Swale in 2011 http://issuu.com/swale-council/docs/key data for swale
- Kent County Council Research and Intelligence Unit http://www.kent.gov.uk/your council/kent facts and figures.aspx
- Health and Social Care maps http://www.kmpho.nhs.uk/health-and-social-care-maps/swale/

At this stage you may find that you need further information and will need to undertake engagement or consultation. Identify the gaps in your knowledge and take steps to fill these.

Case law principles

A number of principles have been established by the courts in relation to the equality duty and due regard:

- Decision-makers in public authorities must be aware of their duty to have 'due regard' to the equality duty
- Due regard is fulfilled before and at the time a particular policy is under consideration as well as at the time a decision is taken. Due regard involves a conscious approach and state of mind.
- A public authority cannot satisfy the duty by justifying a decision after it has been taken.
- The duty must be exercised in substance, with rigour and with an open mind in such a way that it influences the final decision.
- The duty is a non-delegable one. The duty will always remain the responsibility of the public authority.
- The duty is a continuing one.
- It is good practice for those exercising public functions to keep an accurate record showing that they
 have actually considered the general duty and pondered relevant questions. Proper record keeping
 encourages transparency and will discipline those carrying out the relevant function to undertake the
 duty conscientiously.
- The general equality duty is not a duty to achieve a result, it is a duty to have due regard to the need achieve the aims of the duty.
- A public authority will need to consider whether it has sufficient information to assess the effects of the policy, or the way a function is being carried out, on the aims set out in the general equality duty.
- A public authority cannot avoid complying with the duty by claiming that it does not have enough resources to do so.

Examples of case law can be found here <u>EHRC relevant case law</u>. They include examples of why assessing the impact **before** the decision is made is so important and case law around the need to have due regard to the duty

Lead officer:	Bob Pullen, Policy and Performance Officer
Decision maker:	Endorsement by Cabinet, approval by Council
People involved:	Bob Pullen
 Policy, project, service, contract Review, change, new, stop Date of decision: The date when the final decision is made. The CIA must be complete before this point and 	The Corporate Equality Scheme 2016-2020 is a new scheme that replaces the Council's previous scheme which was in place from April 2011. SMT agreed an earlier draft of the Corporate Equality Scheme on 24 May 2016. Cabinet will be asked to endorse the Scheme on 13 July 2016 and Council will be asked to approve it on 27 July 2016.
inform the final decision.	
 Summary of the decision: Aims and objectives Key actions Expected outcomes Who will be affected and how? How many people will be affected? 	 Supporting integration and cohesion in our local communities; Supporting our vulnerable residents; Ensuring easy, clear and convenient access to our services; and Promoting equality as a local employer. Each equality objective is supported by a range of key actions which will be imbedded into service plan action plans to ensure they are monitored and delivered. The Scheme contains comprehensive data on the number of people in Swale with protected characteristics as defined by the Equality Act 2010.
Information and research: Outline the information and research that has informed	Extensive research has been undertaken on the equality and diversity key characteristics of Swale's residents. Information and data has been sought from the Office for National Statistics, Kent County
 the decision. Include sources and key findings. Include information on how the decision will affect people with different protected characteristics. 	Council, Department for Work and Pensions and NOMIS. An analysis of the key statistical data is provided in a 12 page appendix to the Scheme which includes data sources. The key actions listed under each equality objective aim to improve the experience of Swale's residents in a positive way, including those with protected characteristics.
 Consultation: Has there been specific consultation on this decision? What were the results of the consultation? Did the consultation analysis reveal any difference in views across the protected characteristics? Can any conclusions be drawn from the analysis on how the decision will affect people with different protected characteristics? 	SMT and the Policy Development and Review Committee have been involved in early discussions to help shape the new objectives for the period 2016-2020 and the resulting Scheme. A full public consultation on the draft Scheme was launched on 26 February until 29 April 2016. The consultation was publicised by way of a Press Release and promotion through the Swale Community Empowerment Network's (SCEN) newsletter which is sent to just over 400 organisations and individuals across Swale. The Scheme also featured as an agenda item at a SCEN Network meeting on 19 April 2016. All local organisations representing those with protected characteristics were sent a copy of the draft Scheme, along with a covering letter from the Portfolio Holder inviting them to meet and discuss the draft. The

Policy Development and Review Committee considered the draft

Scheme at their meeting on 23 March 2016.

All comments received have been considered and an appendix to the report to Cabinet on 13 July contains a schedule detailing all comments received and the Council's response to them. One comment has led to the inclusion of more information being provided in the Scheme on the number and distribution of elderly people with a long term health problem or disability across the Borough.

Is the decision relevant to the aims of the equality duty? Guidance on the aims can be found in the EHRC's PSED Technical Guidance.	
Aim	Yes/No
Eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation	Yes
2) Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it	Yes
Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it	Yes

Assess the relevance of the decision to people with different protected characteristics and assess the impact of the decision on people with different protected characteristics.

When assessing relevance and impact, make it clear who the assessment applies to within the protected characteristic category. For example, a decision may have high relevance for young people but low relevance for older people: it may have a positive impact on women but a neutral impact on men.

relevance for older people, it may have a positive impact on women but a neutral impact on men.			
Characteristic	Relevance to decision	Impact of decision	
	High/Medium/Low/None	Positive/Negative/Neutral	
Age	High	Positive	
Disability	High	Positive	
Gender reassignment	Low	Positive	
Marriage and civil partnership	Low	Positive	
Pregnancy and maternity	Low	Positive	
Race	Medium	Positive	
Religion or belief	Low	Positive	
Sex	Low	Positive	
Sexual orientation	Low	Positive	
Other socially excluded groups ¹	Medium	Positive	

Conclusion:

- Consider how due regard has been had to the equality duty, from start to finish.
- There should be no unlawful discrimination arising from the decision (see <u>PSED</u> <u>Technical Guidance</u>).

Advise on the overall equality implications that should be taken into account in the final decision, considering relevance and impact.

The entire Corporate Equality Scheme is concerned with ensuring the Council meets the general and specific duties of the Equality Act 2010.

Timing

¹ Other socially excluded groups could include those with literacy issues, people living in poverty or on low incomes or people who are geographically isolated from services

- Having 'due regard' is a state of mind. It should be considered at the inception of any decision.
- Due regard should be considered throughout the development of the decision. Notes should be taken
 on how due regard to the equality duty has been considered through research, meetings, project teams,
 committees and consultations.
- The completion of the CIA is a way of effectively summarising the due regard shown to the equality duty throughout the development of the decision. The completed CIA must inform the final decision-making process. The decision-maker must be aware of the duty and the completed CIA.

Full technical guidance on the public sector equality duty can be found at:
http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/uploaded_files/PSD/technical_guidance_on_the_public_sector_equality_duty_england.pdf

This Community Impact Assessment should be attached to any committee or SMT report relating to the decision. This CIA should be sent to the Website Officer (Lindsay Oldfield) once completed, so that it can be published on the website.